

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/701,338	11/04/2003	David Perko	4930 EXAMINER	
7:	590 04/28/2005			
FRANK L. KUBLER			OLSON, LARS A	
13261 S.W. 54th Court Miramar, FL 33027			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·,			3617	
			DATE MAILED: 04/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

` '	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/701,338	PERKO, DAVID				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lars A Olson	3617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ag	<u>oril 2005</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 3-12 and 14 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 3-10,12 and 14 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 November 2003</u> is/a	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	aten Application (F10-132)				

Application/Control Number: 10/701,338 Page 2

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

1. An after-final amendment was received from the applicant on April 14, 2005.

2. Claims 1, 2, 13, 15 and 16 have been canceled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Day (US 5,014,639) in view of Delfosse (US 6,213,042).

Day discloses a water vessel, as shown in Figures 2-6, that is comprised of at least three closed gas containers, defined as Parts #40 and 50, in the form of two circular hull tubes and a circular cover tube, as shown in Figure 2, that are fastened in close lateral proximity to each other by fastening means, defined as Part #60, to define an interstitial hold space between said containers, as shown in Figure 6. Said tubes can also be made from rubber material, as described in lines 60-62 of column 2.

Day, as set forth above, discloses all of the features claimed except for the use of hull tubes that are filled with lighter-than-air gas for increasing buoyancy.

Delfosse discloses a water vessel, as shown in Figures 1-7, with a pair of hull tubes, defined as Part #20, each containing a buoyant core material, defined as

Application/Control Number: 10/701,338

Art Unit: 3617

Part #28, that is further comprised of a lighter-than-air gas, as described in lines 7-13 of

column 4, in order to provide increased buoyancy for said water vessel.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

time of the invention, to utilize a hull tube with a buoyant core material in the form of a

lighter-than-air gas, as taught by Delfosse, in combination with the water vessel as

disclosed by Day for the purpose of providing increased buoyancy for a water vessel

with a plurality of hull tubes.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 3-10, 12 and 14 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 11 have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed

to Exr. Lars Olson whose telephone number is (571) 272-6685.

lo

April 25, 2005

Lars a O**lson** Primary Ex**amine**r Page 3

11/25/05